



Mr Jim Harra  
Chief Executive of HMRC  
100 Parliament Street  
Westminster SW1A 2BQ

**Anthony Stansfeld**  
Police & Crime Commissioner  
for Thames Valley

**BY SPECIAL DELIVERY**

Date: 8th October 2020  
Our Ref: AS/CR

Dear Mr Harra

I am the Police and Crime Commissioner who leads on fraud nationally. I receive details of a great number of frauds, many run into the tens of millions. The case that I gather that Mrs Michelle Young has written to you about is by far the largest that has been brought to my attention. As I cannot investigate cases I do not know what went on in this case. I attach some Papers about this.

As far as I can see a vast estate, apparently worth some £4Bn, vanished almost overnight. There is a record of all the properties and companies that were put into a number of other ownerships, and the bank accounts that held many million which also disappeared. The behaviour of Grant Thornton, who were the Trustees of the estate, and managed the transfers, is inexplicable.

I suspect there has been wide scale money laundering, fraud, and general criminality. The fact that no one has ever investigated what went on is in itself odd. The unexplained, and well publicised, suicide of Mrs Young's ex-husband, and the refusal of the coroner to accept the police conclusion of suicide is at best unusual. The Coroner returned an open verdict on his death.

I think an investigation by HMRC into where the money went, and what happened to the properties and companies would be a valuable exercise. I hope you can get this looked into. It would be worth interviewing Mrs Young, who can show you the list of assets.

Thames Valley Police (TVP) investigated the HBOS Reading frauds. These approached £1Bn, though only £245m was used in the court case. The case was successfully prosecuted and resulted in sentences of 48 years, and allowed the many victims to be recompensed. I have no doubt the Reading case was the tip of a much larger problem, which seems to be exemplified in what went on in the Scot Young case. It is worth remembering that the Reading case was turned down by two other police Forces and the SFO before it was taken on by TVP.

Yours sincerely

Anthony Stansfeld  
Police & Crime Commissioner for Thames Valley  
The Farmhouse Thames Valley Police Headquarters South  
Oxford Road Kidlington  
Oxon OX5 2NX



Basement Flat 6  
17 Creswell Gardens  
London  
SW5 0BQ

6<sup>TH</sup> October 2020

Dear Mr. Harra

**Re Scot Gordon Young (Deceased) Adjudicated Bankrupt 9<sup>TH</sup> April 2010**

My former husband was made bankrupt based on a petition raised by HMRC on 18<sup>th</sup> February 2010. The Bankruptcy Order was made by the High Court under Reference No. 1259-2010. HMRC at a subsequent creditors' meeting agreed to the appointment of Mr. David Ingram of Grant Thornton and one other as joint trustees in Bankruptcy of Scot Young.

I was awarded maintenance of £27,500 per month in maintenance by the High Court (see attached), back dated to 19<sup>th</sup> December 2009. Furthermore, on 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2013, I was awarded £26.6m and 50% of the estate of Scot Young by order of the High Court on 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2013, (see attached).

Over the last ten years, I have been subjected to nothing but obstruction in my attempts to uncover what happened to the billions of pounds that my former husband was possessed of prior to his bankruptcy which were subject to my two High Court Orders. On the basis that HMRC was the petitioning creditor against Scot Young, you will have received both the first and subsequent reports to creditors which Mr. Ingram will have submitted and I would be obliged if you would please forward these to me as I am in the process of commencing legal proceedings in pursuit of my legitimate claims on my former husband's estate.

Thank you.

Michelle D Young



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE  
FAMILY DIVISION**

**Matrimonial cause proceeding in the Principal Registry  
treated by virtue of Section 42 of the Matrimonial and  
Family Proceedings Act 1984 as pending in a divorce  
county court**

**No. of matter: FD07D02865**

Between	Michelle Danique Young	Petitioner
and	Scot Gordon Young	Respondent

Before Mr Justice MOOR sitting at the Royal Courts of Justice, Strand, London, WC2A 2LL on 22nd November 2013

**UPON HEARING** Leading Counsel and Junior Counsel for the Applicant Wife; the Respondent Husband in Person, save that he appeared by a solicitor on 31st October and 22nd November 2013; and Leading Counsel for the Witnesses Stephen Jones, Paul Osborne, Poju Zabłudowicz and Michael Slater

**AND UPON HEARING** the oral evidence of the Applicant Wife, Respondent Husband, Burke L Files, Carl Biggs, Mark Bezant, Denis Gill, Noelle Reno, George Constantine, Gwilym Davies, Chris Dunhill, Paul Osborne, David Conway, Michael Slater, Stephen David Jones, Ben Anderson, John Peter Williams, Edward Jakeway, James Creed, Ruslan Formichev, William Raeburn, Poju Zabłudowicz, Sir Philip Green and Richard Caring

**AND UPON READING** the Trial Bundles filed herein

**AND UPON HEARING** the Respondent's application dated 24th October 2013 to vary the maintenance order dated the 22nd April 2010 and to remit the arrears and for release of his passport

**AND UPON HEARING** the oral application on behalf of the Applicant Wife for permission to appeal

**UPON PRONOUNCEMENT OF DECREE ABSOLUTE IT IS ORDERED THAT:-**

1. The Respondent Husband shall pay or cause to be paid to the Applicant Wife a lump sum of £20 million (twenty million pounds) on or before the 20th December 2013. In default of payment, the Respondent shall pay interest at the High Court judgment debt rate on the outstanding sum until payment thereof.

2. The Respondent Husband shall pay or cause to be paid to the Applicant Wife the maintenance arrears arising from the Order of Black J dated the 22nd April 2010 in the total sum of £1,511,945.85 on or before the 20th December 2013. In default of payment, the Respondent shall pay interest at the High Court judgment debt rate on the outstanding sum until payment thereof.

3. The Respondent's application dated 24th October 2013 to vary the maintenance order dated 22nd April 2010 and to remit the arrears is dismissed, save that the Order of Black J dated 22nd April 2010 shall stand discharged on 20th December 2013.

4. The Respondent's application for release of his passport is granted.

5. The Respondent Husband's claims for financial provision, pension sharing and property adjustment orders do stand dismissed and the Respondent Husband shall not be entitled to make any further application in relation to their marriage under the Matrimonial Causes Act 1973 section 23(1)(a) or (b) and shall not be entitled on the death of the Applicant Wife to apply for provision out of her estate.

6. Upon compliance with paragraphs 1 and 2 above, the Applicant Wife's claims for financial provision, pension sharing and property adjustment orders do stand dismissed and the Applicant Wife shall not be entitled to make any further application in relation to their marriage under the Matrimonial Causes Act 1973 section 23(1)(a) or (b) and shall not be entitled on the death of the Respondent Husband to apply for provision out of his estate.

7. The Respondent Husband do pay the sum of £5 million (five million pounds) towards the Applicant Wife's costs, in full and final satisfaction of all costs liabilities including orders for costs already made and obligations of the Applicant Wife to third parties; such sum to be paid to the Applicant Wife's solicitors on or before the 6th December 2013.

8. Liberty to apply as to timing and implementation of this Order.

9. Permission to appeal is refused.





**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE**  
**FAMILY DIVISION**  
**APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAL**

Title of Action: Michelle Young v Scot Young  
Claim No: FD07D02865.  
File No.

---

Heard/Tried Before: Mr Justice Moor

---

Nature of hearing: Final hearing for financial remedies following divorce

---

Date of Hearing/Judgment: 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2013

---

Result of hearing: Lump sum to the Wife of £20 million plus order for costs in the sum of £5 million

---

Applicant's Application for Permission to appeal - refused

---

Reasons for decision: (to be completed by the Judge)

There is no significant point of law involved in the case. The matter turned entirely on my findings of fact. I consider the order I made to be entirely within the ambit of my discretion. I cannot see how it could be said that I erred in law or was wrong.

Judge's Signature:

To the Applicant:



When completed, this form should be lodged in the Civil Appeals Office together with an Appeal Notice (N161) for Permission to appeal or when setting down an Appeal

