

**Precis**

This is a story of systematic asset stripping by the Rothschild Family and its agents, enabled by agents of the Crown, with a network of complicit judges, barristers, and registrars, all of whom should be indicted for high crimes. This pervasive fraud is global in nature, targets wealthy individuals who are not part of the “Establishment,” and is enabled by a complicit mass media that spreads disinformation to help obstruct the pursuit of justice. I will make nine points today.

**Table of Contents**

**About the Author**..... 2

**GRAPHIC: Young Family & Young Estate – a JOINT Family & a JOINT Estate** ..... 2

**02 The value of the estate was no less than 800 million pounds** ..... 3

**03 The Russian mafia stories in the media are a contrived distraction** ..... 3

**04 My husband was murdered and the murder was not investigated** ..... 3

**GRAPHIC: Scott Young “Flew” 2.70 Meters without Disturbing Ornaments on Sill** ..... 4

**05 Asset stripping through contrived insolvency is institutionalised fraud** ..... 4

**06 England’s legal and financial systems have been compromised**..... 5

**07 Murder is part of the system – multiple murders are associated with this case** ..... 8

**08 I seek justice for myself and others, but I also have a solution for the future** ..... 9

**09 Summary of my current legal situation** ..... 9

**APPENDIX A: Proof of Fortune Still Existing** ..... 10

**Scot Young Schedule Of Principal Investments as of 10 March 2006 (Property)** ..... 10

**Coutts 2002 Bank Account Statement:**..... 12

**APPENDIX B: Positive Wealth, Falsified Documents, Standing To Challenge** ..... 13

**I have already won**..... 13

**I am lucky (blessed)**..... 13

## About the Author

Michelle Danique Young was born in 1964 in London and grew up in Essex on the family farm as the daughter of Terrence Orwell who was an importer of manufactured goods and land owner who started from nothing. After public school she went into the fashion industry and was very successful.

Michelle met her husband, Scot Young through a friend in 1987, after a good few months Scot moved into Michelle's parent's farm and was treated like a member of the family. The couple had two daughters together and married in 1995. Michelle was happily married with Scot for eleven years.

A combination of growing wealth and infidelity soured the marriage and Michelle filed for divorce in 2007. Michelle believes that her husband's learning of the divorce filing is what triggered his endeavor to pretend to have lost everything, an effort that ultimately led to his murder.

Michelle remains close to both daughters, surviving on the life insurance money that was the only sum not susceptible to theft by those who have stolen Scot Young's fortune. She has devoted herself to documenting and uncovering the wholesale frauds and asset stripping.

### **01 My husband leveraged my family's money, the fortune is legitimate**

Scot Gordon Young, raised in Scotland, was a self-made man, an entrepreneur. When I met Scot he was promoting musicians, mostly in Edinburgh, and was not at all wealthy.

With considerable help from my father and I, as well as key contacts and insights into the ways of doing business from my father in the beginning, his shrewdness, combined with our seed capital, led to immediate and persistent success during the marriage. In November 2013 I was awarded, as part of the divorce proceedings an Order of the Court in the sum of £26.6 million, plus 50% of any assets recovered from Scot Young's estate.

It is my intent to pursue the conspirators in my husband's death and engineered insolvency, starting with Grant Thornton, a Rothschild company.

### GRAPHIC: Young Family & Young Estate – a JOINT Family & a JOINT Estate



## 02 The value of the estate was no less than 800 million pounds

Irrefutable documentation from my husband in 2008 shows a total value of just over 800 million pounds. My husband did not lose the fortune my father and I helped him create – he hid it, and then it was stolen from him by those he hired to hide his assets. I am quite certain he was murdered when he realised he had lost all control over his fortune and sought to recover control.

I have precise documentation from his own laptop that he gave as a gift to one of my daughters. Although he deleted all documents before giving her the laptop, a former Scotland Yard forensics officer was able to recover the core documents, each complete and in no way corrupted by the prior deletion.

Accounting for inflation and appreciation, the value of the estate today should easily be over 1 billion.

**See Appendix A**

## 03 The Russian mafia stories in the media are a contrived distraction

My husband did indeed invest in Russian properties as part of moving our entire fortune out of bounds. At the same time he moved every bit of the rest of our fortune to offshore accounts controlled by intermediate parties, which is how he lost control of everything. There was no loss in Russia – the land was valued at 100 million pounds in 2005 – this land is part of the stolen estate.

The allegation that my husband lost everything as a result of his Russian investments, Project Moscow, was contrived by my husband to explain the alleged loss of his fortune. At my final divorce hearing his own lawyer stated on the record that he did not suffer any loss in relation to Project Moscow.

Later those who stole our family assets contrived with a particular journalist to spread the story that my husband was associated with English organized crime, and this story, meant to throw everyone off the scent, was later altered by others to suggest he was dependent on and then murdered by the Russian mafia.

The only mafia in this story, in my view, is the mafia that is embedded within the Crown's legal and financial networks. I believe my husband, when he sought to hide our fortune, was selected for asset stripping, as have so many others who are not "protected" by virtue of not being part of the Establishment. Based on my own direct experience as well as that of many others sharing their stories with me these past few years, asset stripping in England is organized crime sanctioned by the Crown.

## 04 My husband was murdered and the murder was not investigated

My husband had a fear of heights and in my view would never, ever, have resorted to jumping from any window. I am quite certain he was murdered, and his murder was not properly investigated by the authorities. For example, not only were the ornaments on the window sill undisturbed, but where he landed was not underneath the window but some distance out, as if he has been ejected through the open window by two very strong men.

The authorities have not made a determination of death, they have explicitly not determined the death to be suicide but rather left it open verdict. The authorities never informed me of my husband's death, later claiming I was not next of kin since the divorce has been finalised.

By the time I saw my husband's body it had decomposed to the point that it was not recognizable. No DNA test was done.

His girlfriend Noelle Reno, was allowed to stay in residence at the crime scene and when my daughters arrived two days after the death to collect some of his belongings, they found the girlfriend and her assistant busily shredding hundreds of pages of documents. There is no question in my mind but that the authorities did not do their job and were explicitly neglectful with respect to the crime scene being left under the control of a person I suspect to be a co-conspirator.

### GRAPHIC: Scott Young "Flew" 2.70 Meters without Disturbing Ornaments on Sill



\* 2.70 metres from railing to side of building

### 05 Asset stripping through contrived insolvency is institutionalised fraud

The extent of financial and legal corruption in England is far worse than we all thought. Everyone has understood the corruption of the banks, the LIBOR scandal is still fresh in mind, but few have understood that high net worth individuals who are not part of the Rothschild network are considered prey and targeted for asset stripping by a network of complicit judges, registrars, lawyers and insolvency practitioners.

To those following my case, I have been recognized in my role as a magnet for evidence from many others. This is happening all over the country and I know of over ten very high net-worth individuals similar to myself who have become victim of contrived insolvency to strip assets from hundreds of thousands of hard-working honest families.

The asset stripping of individuals who are selected a “prey” for the Rothschild-controlled “machine” should not be confused with the equally institutionalised asset stripping associated with merger & acquisition fraud where corporate assets are removed, debts are left and the stockholders cheated.

This is about the hunting down and killing of entire families. Insolvency courts are used to liquidate families by design, families that absent the treachery and fraud of the perpetrators of this nation-wide asset stripping scheme, would otherwise continue to thrive and contribute to the economy and society.

The family courts appear to be just as corrupt, and there appears to be a similarly contrived system to strip children from their families to sell them to pedophiles. Children are being treated as assets that can be stripped from families.

I am planning a book as well as a movie about this – this is not about me, but rather about the embedding of organized crime into England’s financial, legal, and taxation authorities.

## **06 England’s legal and financial systems have been compromised**

What I have learned in my direct and documented personal experience, is that England’s legal and financial systems have been compromised. Not only are the High Court and lower courts including family courts and insolvency courts compromised, but there is a Shadow Court operating such that registrars, barristers, accountants, and judges are complicit in a massive organized conspiracy to systematically strip both assets and children from their rightful owners.

Working with others including chartered accountants, I can prove that a most extraordinary system of false claims backed up by falsified documents with obvious errors and omissions, are routinely accepted by registrars, tax authorities, accountants, barristers, and judges, all of whom know that the false testimony is false.

I offer three specific example of fraudulent documents used to destroy me, documents that were accepted by so-called professionals knowing full well they were fraudulent, but who were themselves complicit in the scheme including lawyers, registrars, judges, all guilty to gross violations of their oaths of office.

Williams Lea advertising bill-board for scheme operators "London Gazette"

## Bankruptcy Orders **No such things**

### YOUNG, MICHELLE DANIQUE

Apartment 24, 10 Rochester Row, LONDON, SW1P 1NS

Birth details: 18 May 1964

MICHELLE DANIQUE YOUNG OCCUPATION UNKNOWN OF APARTMENT  
24 10 ROCHESTER ROW LONDON SW1P

In the High Court Of Justice **Family Court**

No 1814 of 2015 **wrong number - £28m award in Family Court**

Date of Filing Petition: 3 June 2015 **person filing unidentified: if not true, court may  
make a finding of contempt**

Bankruptcy order date: 20 July 2015 **Judge? Counsel? Reasoned judgment? Court?**

Time of Bankruptcy Order: 11:27

Whether Debtor's or Creditor's Petition—Creditor's **No identified "creditors"**

Name and address of petitioner:

DAVID INGRAM AND 1 OTHER **Anyone can petition for anything**

GRANT THORNTON UK LLP, 30 FINSBURY SQUARE, LONDON, EC2P 2YU

**Neither David Ingram nor Grant Thornton hired. Accordingly no bills.**

L Cook 11th Floor, Southern House, Wellesley Grove, CROYDON, CR0 1XN,  
telephone: 020 8681 5166, email: CroydonA.OR@insolvency.gsi.gov.uk

**No court identified: OR must be that of area of court**

Capacity of office holder(s): Receiver and Manager **No. Official Receiver does not have  
"capacity". He is the Official Receiver**

20 July 2015

**Nothing to "receive"**

**MDY is "manager" of MDY's estate. Not Mr Cook  
in Croydon.**



IPSSC=INSOLVENCY PRACTITIONER SECRETARY OF STATE CERTIFICATE

Reference: BKT4481401

secret government number understood by scheme operators

new court number obtained by hacking, triggering gov nr

IPSSC

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

1814 of 2015

denotes judicial standing: Ms Young is in Family Court Judgment (favourable) £28m

MICHELLE DANIQUE YOUNG

number anonymously purchased in court

IN BANKRUPTCY

meaningless drivell

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that

No full name;address;VAT nr;surety; bonding detail;date reg body certified integrity/authorisation;IP number

bond buyer (not Paul Allen)

Mr P Allen

FRP Advisory LLP

of 110 Cannon Street, LONDON, EC4N 6EU

business address of FRP irrelevant

has been appointed as trustee of the bankruptcy estate of MICHELLE DANIQUE YOUNG with effect from 28 August 2015.

None identified

On behalf of the Secretary of State Sajid Javid

requires "settlor" and property settled. Neither identified

Roger Gardner

Date. 28 August 2015 date FRP Advisory LLP commits surety

unqualified junior debarred on COMPLEX cases: below level of judge (FAMILY COURT JUDGMENT)

**BANKRUPTCY ORDER**

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE **No division-cannot trace**

Wrong court nr. MY's judicial standing is in FAMILY COURT, judgment £28m NO: 1814 - 2015

**IN BANKRUPTCY** meaningless drive!

MR DEPUTY REGISTRAR **SCHAFER** *Gravwood*

RE: MICHELLE DANIQUE YOUNG

Whose petition? David Ingram or GT? UPON THE PETITION of **DAVID INGRAM AND 1 OTHER GRANT THORNTON UK LLP** 30 FINSBURY SQUARE LONDON EC2P 2YU a Creditor which was presented on the 3rd June 2015 Where? by whom? to whom? How can David Ingram+GT be a creditor? Whose creditor?

AND UPON HEARING the Solicitor for the Petitioner and the Debtor in person. Who? Not named.

AND UPON READING the evidence **Can only be Family Court Judgment in regard MDY**

And the Court being satisfied that the EC Regulation does apply and that these proceedings are main proceedings as defined in Article 3 of the Regulation **Basis?**

IT IS ORDERED that MICHELLE DANIQUE Young **OCCUPATION UNKNOWN OF APARTMENT 24 10 ROCHESTER ROW LONDON SW1P** be **adjudged bankrupt** **FACT: cannot issue a reasoned judgment ordering that a person is branded "bankrupt" [most can do is to "declare that it appears" etc]**

DATED THIS: 20th July 2015

TIME: 11:27

**IMPORTANT NOTICE TO BANKRUPT** addressed to no-one

The/ One of the/ Official Receiver(s) attached to the Court is by virtue of this Order Receiver and **Official Receiver is OR. Court cannot boss.**

**No management duty. Only an estate owner can manage their estate.** **Bankrupt" not identified, nor estate. "Receivership" not in point**

Manager of the Bankrupt's estate. You have certain duties to the Official Receiver as set out in section 291 of the Insolvency Act 1986. These include the duty to give the Official Receiver such inventory of the estate and such other information, and to attend on the Official Receiver at such times, as the Official Receiver may reasonably require.

**ENDORSEMENT ON ORDER**

The Solicitor to the Petitioning Creditor is:

Name:	BOYES TURNER	No "creditor" identified. Purchaser of 1814 of 2015 not identified. No money can be taken into HMCTS and paid anonymously to INSS. INSS must return to HMCTS monies which arrive without payer detail. INSS ISCIS computer with box for "order date" which takes to INSS "income" the unidentified monies, is a contravention of money laundering regs. 1814 of 2015 used in forged SoS certificate and instruments that pay out on fraud/dishonesty of Paul Allen with collusion FRP Advisory LLP, David Ingram, GT and others and/or others with their connivance. Instruments presumed to be default nominal face value-not estate value of £800m. Fraudsters naive believing MDY would be worn down to abandon ownership and judicial standing.
Address:	ABBOTS HOUSE ABBEY STREET READING RG1 3BD	
Telephone:	0118 9597711	
Reference:	CB/31058/14	

07 Murder is part of the system – multiple murders are associated with this case

In my view not only have there been multiple murders associated with this particular asset stripping case, not only my own husband but others including Prince Carlos van Hoenzollern in Switzerland, but the authorities appear to have been, if not complicit, grossly derelict in their duty and have failed to investigate not only the asset stripping fraud, but the associated murders.



## 08 I seek justice for myself and others, but I also have a solution for the future

It is my intent to wage absolute unrestricted warfare in the public interest against this financial and legal conspiracy that is systematically stripping assets from upper middle class individuals of wealth who are not part of the Rothschild network. Every ethical billionaire and multi-millionaire on the planet is potentially a target for asset stripping, with the full complicity of the Central Banks and the financial and legal authorities in England, the United States, and the European Union.

I do have a solution. It is considered view that the introduction of a transparent, truthful, and therefore trustworthy system of digitizing all financial and legal instruments in a manner that exposes every instance of forgery and mis-statement, that prevents corrupt registrars from routing specific documents to corrupt judges, and that prevents registrars and judges from burying certain documents or ignoring certain relevant documents, is needed.

An Internet 3.0, a combination of a truth channel exposing these high crimes and misdemeanors, and a public documentation system that is encrypted and distributed and not subject to censorship and manipulation by corrupt elements, is within our grasp.

Should I be successful in fully exposing and bringing to justice those who have stripped the estate of Scot Young and falsified documents to put me into bankruptcy, it is my intent to form a global alliance of billionaires committed to restoring justice for all and help fund Internet 3.0.

## 09 Summary of my current legal situation

I am submitting a written copy of my narrative with two appendices! the Court is free to publish my entire submission.

I believe that the Family Court finding of my positive wealth combined with my being the named beneficiary of bonds related to the fraud and dishonesty of so-called professionals who have with knowledge of falsity and malice sought to bankrupt me; and my continued eligibility within statute as well as ample and deeply documented cause of action to challenge those who have conspired to strip my husband and me of our joint estate, all bode well toward recovery on behalf of my two daughters and myself.

I seek this Court's independent reflections on the broad nature of the crimes that have been committed against my husband, my daughters, and myself, and any recommendations the Court may have for how I should proceed in the very near term.

## APPENDIX A: Proof of Fortune Still Existing

### Scot Young Schedule Of Principal Investments as of 10 March 2006 (Property)

#### Description-Owners

hip-Current Borrowings-Valuation--1.-29 South Lodge, SW7 -Legally and beneficially owned by SY.- £2,700,000 (with BoS)-Current KF valuation is £4,500,000 (inc. 6 car spaces)--2.-26 Belgrave Square -Legally and beneficially owned by SY.-£3,600,000 (a further injection of £400,000 will be required) (£1.5m undrawn borrowings)-Current KF valuation is £7,500,000. Possible sale or rental with 10% yield--3.-27 Eaton Square, SW1 -Legally and beneficially owned by SY (currently rented out).-£800,000 required to extend lease-Current KF valuation is £4,000,000--4.-28 Eaton Square, SW1 .

Legally and beneficially owned by SY (to be used by SY for office space).-£800,000 required to extend lease- Current KF valuation is £4,000,000--5.-29 Eaton Square, SW1 -Legally and beneficially owned by SY. Contracts in relation to the sale of this property have been issued. Sale price to be confirmed.-£600,000 required to extend lease-Current KF valuation is £2,000,000. Refurb and then sell--6.-Wootton Place, Wootton -Legally and beneficially owned by Michelle Young .-£9,500,000-Sale price agreed - £12,500,000 for property and £4,000,000 for furniture, fixtures and fittings --7.-39 Chester Terrace, NW1 -Legally and beneficially owned by SY.-£2,200,000-£3,900,000. Contract issued--8.-21 Upper Grosvenor Street -Contracts exchanged to acquire 100% of the share capital of Boss Holdings Ltd, which is the long leaseholder of this property. Completion scheduled for 15 March 2006. SY is joint venture partner with Tony Gallagher. -£11,100,000-(to include acquisition of freehold and full refurbishment)-£20,650,000--9.-23 Wilton Crescent -Contracts exchanged for SY to acquire 100% of Adamsland.-£960,000-

Current KF valuation £2,200,000 allowing for a further spend of £200,000--10.-Caerphilly, Moreton in Marsh -Property owned by Fosse Developments Ltd.

SY beneficially owns 100% of Fosse. Fosse has applied to United Trust Bank for financing in relation to this property. The form of Legal Charge has been sent to Gdf or signature. Financing to be finalised in next 7-10 days.-Zero-£535,000--11.-Baldwyn's Farm, Pebworth -Property owned by Fosse Developments Ltd. SY beneficially owns 100% of Fosse.-Sales being agreed. Funded by Heritable Bank-£1,000,000+ profit expected in Q3 2006--12.-Star Lane, Ipswich -Contracts exchanged in the name of Star Lane Estates Ltd. SY beneficially owns 100% of Star Lane.-Acquisition and development costs c. £6,000,000. Planning for 45 apartments and possible Tesco Metro-£11,000,000--13.-Lincoln University ? Halls of Residence-50% beneficially owned by SY.-£1,500,000 to complete site and £3,500,000 of existing borrowings-£30,000,000-(site value with planning ? further details available)--

14. Blunt House - Legally owned by Condor Corporate Services Ltd. SY beneficially owns 100% of Condor. Has benefit of full repairing lease. c. £5,000,000-£8,500,000.

15. 3467 North Moorings Way, Miami - Property acquired in the name of Greenfield Horizon Ltd. SY beneficially owns 100% of Greenfield. £3,500,000. Term loan with BoS - Valuation being undertaken by CB Richard Ellis.

16. Project Mayfair - Contracts about to be exchanged. SY will own 100% of this project. Total development costs c. £100,000,000 (£5,000,000 already committed). c. £200,000,000 plus recurring revenue model.

CORPORATE - Entity - Summary of Investment - Valuation

1. EPOSS - SY will own 50% of EPOSS following the proposed restructuring. Completion scheduled for 27 or 28 April 2006. To be discussed. In excess of £7,000,000 committed. Large exit anticipated 2007 / 8.

2. Project Moscow - Properties owned by Parasol Participations Ltd in Moscow. SY owns 50% of Parasol and is currently in the process of granting options of over 10% to third parties. KF valuation available. Profits running into hundreds of millions.

3. SMS - SY beneficially owns 60% of SMS. £5,000,000, which would give a value of approximately £3,000,000 for SY's holding.

4. Condor - SY beneficially owns 100% of Condor. He has lent £4,000,000 to Condor for it to make various investments. Information awaited from GD. He is currently on vacation.

5. MIG - Excellent technology investment. SY beneficially owns 22% of MIG. £40,000,000, which would give a value of approximately £8,000,000 for SY's holding. This assumes a trade sale/flotation in 2006/7 where numbers could spiral.

6. Esendex - SY beneficially owns 12% of Esendex. £1,000,000 for SY's holding.

7. Qiosks - SY beneficially owns 20% of Qiosks. Exit projected at £100,000,000+.

8. IDM Cityscape - SY has made significant infrastructure investments in this business.

The current management are confident they can establish a building management company, generating an annual turnover of £100,000,000 in the next 2 years. Current projections show net 8%. SY may award management up to 20%. £16-20,000,000. SY beneficially owns 100% Cityscape.

9. i-Sentry Group Limited - SY has invested approximately £500,000 for 10% of the common shares and 34% of this company's preference shares (with attached income notes). To be discussed.

... PAGE -3...  
 FILENAME \\* MERGEFORMAT .D.SXD.OSB.dlm.Y10.4\_3498

Coutts 2002 Bank Account Statement:

ASSET/LIABILITY	RISK CLASSIFICATION			
	CASH "Low"	"Cautious"	"Moderate"	"High"
Client: Scot Young Esq				
ES A Term Policy - \$10m				
ES A Term Policy - \$10m				
Private Companies				
Diplo PLC - 33.35%				
Loan to Diplo plc PLC Interest at 10%				
EOS - 65%				
EROSSE 1%				
EROSSE preference shares yield 6%				
NRG 50% set 2003				
BC Films - Acquires Rights to Movies - sell 10/03				
BC Films - 20%				
Alpha Telecom - due 12/02				
MYNO Royalty/Income from 13/03 - say 6 years				
TRAZ Royalty 6 years - \$2.5m per annum				
Cash held at Fox Williams in Esrow				
Fox Williams - House Money				
TOTAL				
NET OF EXCLUSIONS				

77

Established 1682

Coutts & Co is regulated by the FSA. Registered in England No. 36695. Registered Office 440 Strand London WC2R 0QS.

## APPENDIX B: Positive Wealth, Falsified Documents, Standing To Challenge

### I have already won

*I believe I have already “won” on merit within the existing legal system because:*

#### 1. The Family Court found that I have positive wealth (£26+m)

*Comment 1:* Estate jointly owned by Michelle Young (MY) with Scot Young (SY). MY has not been challenged on this

*Comment 2:* SY did not gamble away or recklessly invest the joint estate, such that the lot was dissipated and there was nothing left at the date of divorce (November 2013). MY has not been challenged on this

*Comment 3:* SY had no mandate from MY to transact in the assets comprised in the jointly owned estate including their use as guarantee. Transactions by SY are therefore of no effect. Counterparties on risk. MY has not been challenged on this

*Comment 4:* MY did not acquiesce by conduct or otherwise to any alienation ab initio but would have done had she known what SY was doing. MY has not been challenged on this

#### 2. I am not statute barred: six years from November 2013 is November 2019

3. I am the named beneficiary of bonds that pay out on fraud/dishonesty of investors with collusion of others and others with their connivance

4. Those obstructing and causing delay in enforcement have no capacity (bust) or standing: consequently, they are ineffective

5. Obstruction gives me a cause of action v those obstructing seeking to permanently alienate her from her estate

6. I can recover money damages from obstructers equivalent to value of estate from which had been alienated<sup>1</sup>

### I am lucky (blessed)

I am “lucky” for the following reasons:

#### 1. Real bankruptcies involve investigations by the state (“Official Receiver”) who do not recover.

*Comment 1:* OR deploys the self-same operators who are obstructing MY, with a poor public record of recovery. Asset Recovery Agency closed. MY not vulnerable to such loss.

#### 2. Family Court’s judgment of value implicitly belies SY being bankrupt.

*Comment 1:* Judgment has some unattractive language: important that reader is not distracted.

---

<sup>1</sup> NB rules of intestacy apply as SY had no will: other interests not formally represented by MY’s initiatives. MY is not represented or advised in regard such risks (nor others)



*Comment 2:* Judgment classifies MY's case as "complex" - cannot be considered below High Court level. "Registrars" in bankruptcy court are automatically debarred from presiding deploys the self-same operators who are obstructing MY, with a poor public record of recovery. Asset Recovery Agency closed. MY not vulnerable to such loss.

3. Cause of action against my obstructers (with their insurance backing) means I do not have to go chasing after the estate myself - I can challenge and seek compensation from the perpetrators directly

4 No one has challenged the assets identified on grounds they were gambled away or recklessly invested: inference tactics have been intended to cause me to abandon her interests

5. Obstructers have not told the truth in court where disapplication of privilege from self-incrimination applies (right to remain silent): require permission to be heard

*Comment 1:* MY has the chance to object at a permission hearing

6. There are publicly accessible claim forms v those obstructing that I can just use

7. "Fighting it on enforcement" is new to police but can be translated e.g. identity theft

8. Obstructers include those caught under US's Foreign Corrupt Practices Act 1977 – SEC aspect not triggered to date (Arthur J Gallagher)

9. I have cause of action v auditor of FRP as well as reporting obligation and escalation to Financial Reporting Council (FRP), now in spotlight

10. Auditor of Arthur J Gallagher has already been informed – I can leverage this.